

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,741	03/24/2004	Peter F. Whitington	11761/11	8168	
7590 11/14/2007 Brinks Hofer Gilson & Lione			EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 10395 Chicago, IL 60610			VU, QUYNH-NHU HOANG		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/14/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application N	0.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/809,741		WHITINGTON, PETER F.			
		Examiner	***	Art Unit			
		Quynh-Nhu H.		3763			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cov	er sheet with the c	correspondence ac	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA ASSIGNS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS (36(a). In no event, he will apply and will exp c, cause the applicatio	COMMUNICATION bwever, may a reply be tire for SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of the CED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a) <u></u> □	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-f	formal matters, pro		e merits is		
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5) □ 6) □ 7) □ 8) ⊠ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-45 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicate may not request that any objection to the	wn from considered the considered of both considered or b) and considere	ement. objected to by the				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note t	he attached Office	e Action or form P	TO-152.		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmer	nt(s)						
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	5)	Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [Notice of Informal Other:	Date			

Application/Control Number: 10/809,741 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-41, drawn to a delivery device, classified in class 604, subclass 96.
- II. Claims 42-45, drawn to a method of delivering nutrition to a patient, classified in class 604, subclass 500.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case, a method of delivering nutrition to a patient can be used such as inserting the device and access tube through a stomach wall of patient instead of go through a nasal.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Group I elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- A. Figs. 1-6, first delivery device.
- B. Fig. 7, second delivery device.
- C. Fig. 8, third delivery device.

Upon election of Species A, there is a subspecies must be selected:

Application/Control Number: 10/809,741

Art Unit: 3763

- i. Fig. 1
- ii. Fig. 2
- iii. Fig. 3
- iv. Fig. 4
- v. Fig. 5
- vi. Figs. 5A-6.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quynh-Nhu H. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3228. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3763

Quynh-Nhu H. Vu Examiner Art Unit 3763

SUPERVISORY AS DIVINOUSES